

MICROFINANCING DECENTRALISED SOLAR ENERGY SYSTEMS IN INDIA: INNOVATIVE PRODUCTS THROUGH GROUP APPROACH

SATISH PILLARISETTI
National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development
Head Office
Mumbai
India

Introduction



- India has a large proportion of people without electricity
- Jawaharlal Nehru National Solar Mission: 20,000 MW by 2022
- Of which 2,000 MW: off grid applications
- Through bank finance: Initiative taken up by a rural bank for solar home lighting systems
- Government's subsidised credit scheme with the facilitation of National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD) followed
- Scheme undergoes several changes
- The central bank (Reserve Bank of India)'s tag of priority sector lending for solar lighting and heating systems
- Steady progress: 200,000 units financed

Innovating products



- Feedback on the scheme mixed
- Isolated communities unable to benefit
- Thinking on group based financial products
- Umbrella Programme on Natural Resource Management (UPNRM) with Kf W support
- Rural Innovation Fund (RIF) with SDC support
- Joint Liability Groups (JLGs) of 4-10 members
- Self Help Groups (SHGs) of 15-20 members

Girls Hostels' JLGs -1



- Many islands in estuarine region of Sundarbans in West Bengal outside the grid connectivity
- 3 girls hostels did not have power connection: depended on kerosene lamps
- Local NGO motivated for going in for solar powered LED lights
- But no suitable financial product was available
- Interaction with NABARD provided a clue: Group approach can be tried
- Parent Teacher Committees of hostels organised into JLGs
- JLGs approached NABARD for financial support

Girls Hostels' JLGs -2



- Proposal covered 157 solar powered LEDs in 3 hostels
- NABARD gave 50 % as loan and 30 % as grant from RIF
- Balance of 20 % was shared by NGO and JLGs
- Responsibility for maintenance of system and collection and repayment of loan installments with JLGs
- Per student per month collection linked to number of units in each hostel
- Ranged between Rs 62 and Rs129
- 5 year repayment period given-But
- 2 JLGs opted for shorter period of 2 years

Solar Micro Grids-1



- In the same region of Sundarbans
- Aimed at replacement of kerosene use for lighting
- 13 micro-clusters covered in the project
- Each comprising 7-10 households
- Each household provided with 3 LED lights and 1 mobile charging point
- Each micro-cluster managed by a JLG
- Element of individual and collective ownership and responsibility

Solar Micro Grids-2



- NABARD sanctioned 50 % of project cost as loan and 50 % as grant from RIF
- NGO covered the cost of forming and nurturing JLGs
- Repayments based on savings from non-use of kerosene for lighting
- Monthly installment collection by JLGs
- Repayment period of 4 years
- JLG members saving additional amount for battery replacement and panel maintenance



- Project taken up in remote blocks of Bihar and Jharkhand states
- Marginalised tribal communities
- Solar home lighting scheme did not take off here
- Solar lanterns with charging stations as an alternative
- Initiative taken up by an NGO-ASSEFA
- Covered under Lighting a Billion Lives (LaBL) scheme of TERI
- ASSEFA acts as LaBL associate of TERI



- Self Help Groups as LaBL franchisees
- They set up and manage solar lantern charging stations (SLCS)
- Handholding support by LaBL associate (in this case ASSEFA)
- Fee for service/rental model
- Typical SLCS is with 50 solar lanterns, 5 solar panels and junction boxes
- Lantern provides light for 5-6 hours
- Rent collected used for operation and management of charging station and partly for battery replacement



- 40 charging stations were to be set up
- 50 families covered by each station-total 2000 families
- 85 % of project cost was given as loan and 10 % as grant by NABARD under UPNRM
- Participant contribution of 5 %
- Each charging station under supervision of SHGs
- Loans and repayment overseen by SHGs
- 1-2 women from each SHG take responsibility for implementation



- Project did not proceed as envisaged
- Only 250 families covered instead of 2,000
- Community management was diffused as 3 SHGs worked with each station
- Community asset management suffered
- Dissatisfaction with illumination levels: preference for CFL lamps
- ASSEFA approached NABARD for course correction

Individual panel model



- Balance 1750 households covered under individual panel with CFL bulbs model
- Accordingly loan under UPNRM was revised downwards by 20 %
- Though panels for individual households, loan administration through SHGs
- Loan monitoring and recovery also through SHGs
- Maintenance overseen by SHGs
- This model saw a wider acceptance than charging station model

Conclusions-1



- 93 % of units in working condition
- As on date all loans being serviced regularly
- No defaults or delays in repayments
- Multi-pronged involvement of all stakeholders
- Need to expend energy in building up social capital
- Effective community participation needed
- People's participation aspect neglected initially by NGO in Bihar-Jharkhand project
- People ready for a group financial product but not a group physical product

13

Conclusions-2



- Benefits in terms of improved health
- Increased academic performances
- Better living conditions
- Increased livelihood opportunities due to increased working hours
- Drudgery reduction
- Disprove the need for subsidised interest rates for poor
- Market interest rates on loan portion in all projects
- Group based financial products needed for lowest economic strata

Conclusions-3



- Models basically sustainable: initial costs of social mobilisation and capacity building
- Local bank in West Bengal commenced financing JLGs for Solar Home Lighting
- NABARD's ability to leverage various types of funds and bring in participation from different entities
- However maximising mainstream financing with minimal subsidies is a challenge
- These group products can be mainstreamed after studying full impact
- Need for further evaluation with detailed field level data



THANK YOU

satishp99@usa.net p.satish@nabard.org