Community Energy Resilience in Nepal

Long Seng To 1st June 2018 LCEDN Annual Conference Loughborough University



As of 26 May 2015

at magnitudes of 7.8 and 7.3 respectively.

Number of people injured Source: UNRCO/Gov. of Nepal

21,95

SHARE 🕤 🔽 😰 💈

IE MAP EARTHQUAKES AND AFTERSHOCKS PEOPLE KILLED BY DISTRICT PEOPLE INJURED BY DISTRICT PEOPLE KILLED AND INJURED BY DISTRIC Number of people killed | Number of people injured limited to the top 15 alstricts Kathmandu 1.21 3,438 1.568 Sindhupalchok 182 3,052 Lalitpur 333 2,101 Bhaktapur 1.061 522 Nuwakot M7.8 Kabhrepalanchok 318 1,179 Dhading 732 735 M7.3 440 952 Gorkha Pokhara @ Rasuwa \$96 771 O Mount Everest Dolakha 168 643 KathmanduO Makawanpur 33 229 Sindhuli 14 |228 Ramechhap 39 133 Chitawan 10 143 UAKES AND AFTERSHOCKS Source: USGS Solukhumbu 20 | 104 ٩, ۰.,

01 May 02 May 03 May 04 May 05 May 06 May 07 May 08 May 09 May 10 May 11 May 12 May 13 May 14 May 15 May 16 May 17 May 29 Apr 30 Apr 28 Apr



People searching for belongings in Baktapur Source: http://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2015/world/asia/nepal-earthquake-photos.html



Village in Gorkha District (29 April 2015) Source: http://time.com/3843436/these-are-the-5-facts-that-explain-nepals-devastating-earthquake/

Research Questions

- 1. Were communities with existing small-scale renewable energy systems better able to deal with the earthquake in comparison to communities with access to centralised energy systems, or communities relying on traditional energy systems? What were the energy strategies of each group?
- 2. Could energy services be restored more quickly after a disaster? Could the design of energy systems be improved to enhance community resilience? What kind of support do communities need after disasters to implement their energy strategies?

Methods

In 16 villages across 4 districts:

- 160 household survey and interviews
- 16 focus group discussions
- Key informant interviews
- governance mapping

Results: Household Survey & Interviews

- Most households had re-established some form of energy access after the earthquake
- Most households are using multiple sources of energy or 'fuel stacking' as an energy resilience strategy

Results: Focus Group Discussion

- the sense of existential vulnerability, needing help in feeling safe, taking care of the injured, and disruption to normal life.
- the processes of engaging community-based resourcefulness
- the condition of 'make do' first stage recovery
- engaging the state

Results: Informal Network Activated After Earthquake



Results: Informal Network for Energy Access



Conclusions

- Households and communities are proactive in restoring some energy services
 - utilising grass root institutional resources
 - changes to energy routines
- Full restoration of energy services was slow
- Energy failure is a key idiom of continued disruption to people's everyday lives after the earthquake
- Inequalities in which communities have managed to restore energy services, e.g. micro hydro plants

Community Energy Resilience in Low-Income Countries

- Establish research collaborations
- Focus on opportunities to increase community energy resilience in on-grid, mini-grid and stand-alone electricity systems in South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa.
- 3 workshops
- June November 2018







Energy and Economic Growth





